

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,372	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Response after Non-Final

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) _____.

(2) Jim Zeeger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2005

Time: 10:43AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
76-134

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Jim Zeeger on 1/10/2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 76-106.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)